

R E M A R K S

Upon entry of this Response, claims 1, 6, 13, and 18 will be amended and claims 5, 7-12, 17, and 19-20 will be canceled. No new matter has been added. Support for the changes to claims 6 and 18 may be found, for example, in FIG. 3 as originally filed. Reconsideration and further examination are respectfully requested in view of the following Remarks.

Objection to Drawings

In response to the outstanding objection to the drawings, Applicant has amended the specification to include a reference to element 602 in view of the Examiner's helpful comment. Withdrawal of the objection to the drawings is respectfully requested.

35 USC 102

The pending claims stand rejected under 35 USC 102 as being anticipated by US Publication No. 2004/0100504 ("Sommer"). Reconsideration of these rejections is respectfully requested.

As illustrated, for example, in FIG. 1, Sommer discloses that a first menu 140 may be displayed to a user. When a cursor is positioned over an item in the first menu 140, a second menu 145 may be displayed to the user in addition to the first menu 140. When an item in the first menu 140 is selected by the user, the second menu 145 replaces the first menu 140 and a third menu (not shown in FIG. 1) may replace the second menu 145.

As amended, claim 1 recites providing to a user an initial set of toolbar buttons in a toolbar without providing to the user a subsequent set of toolbar buttons in the toolbar." A single indication may be received from the user and, as a result of receiving the indication claim 1 further recites "replacing the initial set of toolbar buttons with the subsequent set of toolbar buttons in the toolbar."

Applicants respectfully suggest that Sommer does not disclose or suggest these features. In particular, the second menu 145 is initially displayed to the user along with the first menu 140. In contrast, claim 1 now recites “that the initial and subsequent sets of toolbars buttons are not simultaneously provided to the user in the toolbar.” As a result, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of claim 1 as amended. The remaining claims depend from claim 1 (or recite similar limitations) and should be allowable for at least the same reasons.

Moreover, claims 6 and 18 now recite that the toolbar includes “a search feature user input portion in addition to the initial set of toolbar buttons, and the search feature user input portion is not replaced by the subsequent set of toolbar buttons.” For example, FIG. 3 of the present application includes an example of a search feature user input portion 324. Applicants respectfully suggest that Sommer does not disclose or suggest such a feature and reconsideration and allowance of claims 6 and 18 are respectfully requested for this additional reason.

C O N C L U S I O N

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request allowance of the pending claims. If any issues remain, or if the Examiner has any further suggestions for expediting allowance of the present application, the Examiner is kindly invited to contact the undersigned via telephone at (203) 972-0191.

Respectfully submitted,

July 23, 2007
Date

/Patrick J. Buckley/
Patrick J. Buckley
Registration No. 40,928
Buckley, Maschoff & Talwalkar LLC
50 Locust Avenue
New Canaan, CT 06840
(203) 972-0191